

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/925,786	08/09/2001	James Davis	STAT1150	5372
6980 TROUTMAN	7590 12/28/2007 SANDERS LLP		EXAM	INER
600 PEACHTREE STREET, NE			. CHANG, JULIAN	
ATLANTA, G	A 30308		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2152	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/925,786	DAVIS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Julian Chang	2152
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided in the provided period for reply will, by some and the provided period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	05 October 2007.	
·— ·	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und		
sposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		<i>)</i>
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority docur 		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.
		n (i
tachment(s)	V	(
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944)	-, — ·	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	

09/925,786 Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to communication filed on 10/05/07. Claims 1-27 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/05/07 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-14, drawn to a site controller for monitoring remote devices, classified in class 709, subclass 224.
 - II. Claims 15-27, drawn to a system and method for selecting up-stream and down-stream paths for transferring data, classified in class 709, subclass 238.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number:

09/925,786 Art Unit: 2152

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it is capable of generating a network map of down-stream and up-stream paths based on the unique addresses of communication devices that retransmitted a path determination message. The subcombination has separate utility such as managing communication between a plurality of communication devices using a first protocol, and a host computer via a second protocol.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number:

09/925,786 Art Unit: 2152

because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number:

09/925,786 Art Unit: 2152

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-8631. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on (571) 272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Many Z.